COCHIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

Wednesday, the *24th of January 1934.*
11th of Makaram 1109.

The House met at half past eleven of the clock with the President in the chair. Messrs. K. G. Nayar, A. I. Mani, C. A. Ouseph, Popatlal Goverdhan Lalan and A. Sankara Menon were absent.

Questions and Answers.

Dr. A. G. Menon.—On the first day one question was allowed to stand by till the arrival of the Chief Engineer and I had asked the Council Secretary to bring it to your notice when he comes. He is present to-day. So that question may be taken up.

Mr. K. T. Mathew.—I got the information. Personally I don't want any further information.

Market for Cochin Products.

61. Mr. K. T. Mathew.—With reference to question No. 148, dated 2nd August 1933, will the Government be pleased to state whether the consideration of the matter is over; and if so, what has been done in the matter?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—Yes. Arrangements have been made with the "Buy Indian League, Madras, to popularise all Cochin products, both through their central museums and the exhibitions which they organise. They also have undertaken to sell the products sent to them, render monthly accounts and remit the sale proceeds taking 6 1/4 % as commission.

Sealy Memorial School, Tattamangalam.

62. Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—Will the Government be pleased to state—

(1) whether there was an enquiry held at the Sealy Memorial School, Tattamangalam, in 1108 by the Education Department;

(2) why was it occasioned;

(3) whether any irregularity was noticed;

(4) was there any truth in the complaint that teachers were not being paid their pay regularly;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(5) if so, what action was taken by the Government;

(6) was any statement taken from the Sanskrit Pandit of the School;

(7) was not the Pandit on leave on the day of the enquiry;

(8) was he not got down for the special purpose of taking the statement;

(9) was he not given the assurance that no harm to him would result from his speaking the truth by the Inspecting officer;

(10) will the Government be pleased to place on the table the complaint, the statement of the Pandit and the report of the Inspector after enquiry;

(11) is it a fact that the Pandit has since been dispensed with his services;

(12) how many years' service has he put in;

(13) what was the report of his ability to teach till he gave the deposition;

(14) has not the Chief Inspecting Officer, the Director of Public Instruction, the several Head Masters under whom he had served given good credentials as to his ability to teach and as for his behaviour;

(15) why were his services dispensed with;

(16) had the Education Department any complaint ever before he deposed to the truth of the allegations?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—(1) Yes.

(2) By anonymous petitions.

(3) Yes.

(4) Yes.

(5) The Manager was severely warned.

(6) Yes.

(7) Yes.

(8) No.

(9) No.

(10) Copies of the complaints, the Sanskrit Pandit's statement and the Inspector's report are appended. (*Appendix I*)

(*a*) to (*d*).

(11) Yes.

(12) 32

(13) Not quite satisfactory.

(14) None by either the Chief Inspecting Officer or the Director. The Pandit has since produced a testimonial purporting to be a certificate given by the Headmaster of the school on 30—3—1102.

(15) Due to insubordination to the Headmaster and indifference to work.

(16) Yes.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—Have the Managers of aided schools the permission of Government to restrict the pay of the teachers in proportion to the fees collected?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—Certainly not.

Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—The irregularities found are the irregularities in payment to the teachers, taking acquittances from them without actually paying them and getting grant from the Government before paying the teachers, etc. Is not that a misappropriation?

Mr. I. N. Menon.— Technically interpreted it should be called a temporary misappropriation.

Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—Was the punishment meeted out to the Manager sufficient?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—I stated that getting acquittance before payment is temporary misappropriation. On enquiry it was found that it was not the only school of the kind. This was the first instance in which the Manager was reported against and a severe warning was thought sufficient.

Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—Do the Government know that these teachers go and stand by the road side and collect the fees from the students as they come along?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—I have no information.

Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—Why this Pandit was not given his pay for months together? Did the Government enquire about it?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—The matter was enquired into by the Inspector and the report submitted by him shows all these irregularities.

Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—Why was the Pandit not paid?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—The whole trouble is explained there. Salary used to be paid out of fee collections and each class had its own teacher to collect the fees. The Pandit being, so to say, a teacher of all classes and therefore of none in particular he had to be paid by the management, because he had not the advantage of having any fee collection. The management in their letter said, due to the economic depression they could not find money in time and therefore payment was delayed.

Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—Are the Government aware that this Pandit holds certificates from Mr. Mathai and the Inspector as to his fitness?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—To the best of my knowledge he does not hold any certificate from any of these.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

APPENDIX I.

Anonymous Petition—(a).

It is a pity that the Manager of the Sealy Memorial School, Tattamangalam, is not in the habit of disbursing the pay of his teachers regularly and in full. This year he has been keeping the pay of the teachers for more than six months in arrears and it was only on 3rd Karkadagam 1107 that he made a part payment, keeping the pay for two months still in arrears. This is really very hard in these days of financial stringency. Moreover, many rumours are flying round that this Manager had the boldness even to forge the signature of the Sanskrit Pandit of the school in the acquittance submitted along with the grant bill for the 3rd quarter of 1107, since this Pandit refused to give his acquittance this time before payment. It is a fact that this teacher has now given only a separate receipt for the full amount he received instead of putting his signature in the main acquittance book kept in the school, as he was doing on previous occasions. A surprise inspection of the school acquittance book and a careful scrutiny of his signature in the acquittance kept here and the acquittance sent to the Director with his bill will convince you of the veracity of this statement.

It is really a wonder that such things should take place when we have an able and impartial administrator in you and an young and energetic Director at the helm of affairs of the Education Department. In order therefore to keep the prestige of your Government and also to discourage such malpractice among the Managers of aided schools, an immediate enquiry is quite essential.

Anonymous petition—(b).

തത്തമംഗലം സീലി മെമ്മോറിയൽ സ്കൂളിൽ നടക്കുന്ന അഴിമതികളെക്കുറിച്ചു പലതവണയും ഹരജി മുഖേന ഡയറക്ടർ അവർകളെ അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതിൽ ഒന്നിനെ എങ്കിലും പേരിന്നു ഒരു അന്വേഷണം കൂടി ഇതുവരെയായി നടത്താത്തതിൽ സാധുക്കൾക്കു അതിയായ സങ്കടം ഉണ്ട്. ഈ എടയായി ഒരു ഹരജിയിൽ മേപ്പടി സ്കൂളിലെ സംസ്കൃതം പഠിപ്പിക്കുന്ന ആൾ ആറുമാസ കാലം ശമ്പളം കിട്ടാതെ ബില്ലൊട്ടുകൂടി അയക്കുന്ന രീതിയിൽ ഒപ്പിടുകയില്ലെന്നുപറയുകയും അയാളുടെ ഒപ്പു കൂടാതെ ബിൽ പാസ്സായത ഏതു നിലക്കു രീതിയിൽ കൃത്രിമം ചെയ്തിട്ടാണെന്നു ആക്ഷം അറിവാൻ സാധിച്ചിട്ടില്ല. ഡയറക്ടരാപ്പീസ്സിൽനിന്നും ശമ്പളം കിട്ടാതെ യാതൊരാളും ശമ്പളപട്ടികയിൽ ഒപ്പിടരുത് എന്നും അങ്ങിനെ ഒപ്പിടുന്നതായാൽ ഒപ്പിടുവാക്കു ന്യൂനതയുണ്ടെന്നുള്ള ഒരു സർക്കുലർ ഉണ്ടായിട്ടുള്ളതിനെ ഇന്നെവരക്കു മേപ്പടി സ്കൂളിലെ മാസ്റ്റർമാർക്കു അറിവുകൊടുത്തിട്ടില്ല. ചിലർ ശമ്പളപട്ടികയിൽ മുൻതിയതായ്വെച്ചു ഒപ്പിട്ടുകിട്ടുവാൻ ആവശ്യപ്പെട്ടതിൽ വഴിപ്പെടാതെശമ്പളം കിട്ടിയതിയ്യതിയെകാണിച്ചു പ്രത്യേകം രശീതി കൊടുത്തതായും അറിയുന്നു. ഈ മാതിരി പലെ അക്രമങ്ങളും പ്രത്യേകിച്ചു ചണവിഷയത്തിൽ ഇവിടെ നടത്തിവരുന്നതിനെ മേലധികാരിയായ നിങ്ങൾ യാതൊരു അന്വേഷണവും ചെയ്യാതെ ഇന്നാൽ സാധുക്കളായവർ ഏതു തരത്തിലും

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

ണ" കഴിഞ്ഞുകൂടുക എന്നുള്ള ഒരു പശ്ചാത്താപംപോലും നിങ്ങൾക്കു ഉണ്ടായി കാണാത്തതിൽ ഒരിക്കൽ അല്ലെങ്കിൽ മറെറാരിക്കൽ എങ്കിലും ദൈവസന്നിധിയിൽ നിങ്ങൾ സമാധാനം പറയെണ്ടിവരും ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെണ്ടിൽനിന്നു സാധുക്കളായ അദ്ധ്യാപകന്മാരുടെ ശേഷി കുറഞ്ഞകാലത്തു ഉപകാരമായിതീരുവാൻ വേണ്ടി ഭയവായ ഏർപ്പെടുത്തീട്ടുള്ള (Provident fund) മേപ്പടി മാനെജർ അടച്ചിട്ടു എത്ര മാസമായി എന്നു മേൽ അധികാരത്തിൽ നിന്നു അദ്ധ്യാപി ഒരനേഷണം ചെയ്യാത്തതിൽ അവിടുന്നു കൂടി ഈ പാപത്തിന്നു ഒരു ഭാഗവാക്കായി തീരുവാൻ ഇടയുണ്ടാകുമെന്നു നിങ്ങൾതന്നെ തീച്ചയാക്കുന്നതാണു നല്ലതെന്നു താഴ്മയോടെ

അനുഭവസ്ഥൻ.

(True copy).

Copy of the statement taken from the Sanskrit Pandit--

ഫ ഠ ടെ മേടം അവസാനത്തിങ്കൽ Grant bill അയക്കേണ്ടുന്ന ആവശ്യത്തിലേക്കു അതിന്റെ കൂട്ടത്തിൽ അയക്കേണ്ടുന്ന ശമ്പളപട്ടികയിൽ എന്നോടു ഒപ്പിടുവാൻ ആവശ്യപ്പെട്ടപ്പോൾ ശമ്പളം തീർത്തു തന്നില്ലാതെ ഞാൻ ഒപ്പിടുന്നതല്ലെന്നു പറകയും എന്റെ ഒപ്പില്ലെങ്കിലും bill പാസ്സാക്കുവാനുള്ള അധികാരം ഉണ്ടെന്നു എന്നോടു പറഞ്ഞു. ആ അവസരത്തിൽ മകരം, കുംഭം, മീനം, മേടം എന്നീ നാലു മാസത്തെ ശമ്പളം ഒന്നും തന്നിട്ടുണ്ടായിരുന്നില്ല.

ഞാൻ ആ ശമ്പളപട്ടികയിൽ ഒപ്പിടാത്ത അവസ്ഥകൊണ്ടു അതിന്റെ കാര്യം എന്തായി എന്നൊന്നും ഇന്നയ്യാ അറിവില്ല.

പിന്നീടു ഫ ഫ ഠ ടെ കർക്കടകം ന-ാംനു- എന്നിയ്യാ മകരം, കുംഭം, മീനം, മേടം എന്നീ നാലു മാസത്തെ ശമ്പളം ഒരുന്നൂറ്റി ഇരുപത്തൊമ്പതു രൂപാ തരുമ്പോൾ മാനേജർ സൂക്ഷിക്കുന്നതായ Acquittance Roll-ൽ ഒപ്പിടുവാൻ പറഞ്ഞപ്പോൾ ഒപ്പിടുന്നതിൽ കർക്കടകം ന-ാംനു- യാണു തന്നതു. ആ തിയ്യതി വെച്ചു" ഒപ്പിടു തരാം എന്നു ഞാൻ പറഞ്ഞതിന്നു അവർ സമ്മതിക്കാതെ Separate Receipt തന്നാൽ മതി എന്നു എന്നോടു പറകയും അപ്രകാരം ഞാൻ കൊടുക്കയും ചെയ്തിട്ടുള്ളതിന്റെ നേരുപകർപ്പാണു ഇതൊന്നിച്ചു തന്നിട്ടുള്ളതു.

പിന്നീടു കർക്കടകം ന ഫ -ാംനു- grant bill അയക്കുന്ന കൂട്ടത്തിൽ അയക്കുന്ന ശമ്പളപട്ടികയിൽ ഒപ്പിടാൻ എന്നോടാവശ്യപ്പെട്ടപ്പോൾ എന്റെ ഒപ്പില്ലാതെ തന്നെ കാര്യം നിവൃത്തിപ്പാൻ അധികാരം ഇന്നയ്യാ എന്റെ ഒപ്പു് ആവശ്യമില്ലല്ലൊഎന്നു ഒപ്പിടുവിക്കുവാൻ വന്ന നാണുക്കുട്ടിമാസ്റ്ററോടു പറഞ്ഞപ്പോൾ ആ കാര്യം അനുഭവപ്പെട്ടിരിക്കെ ഒറക്കു ഞാൻ മാത്രം ഒപ്പിടാത്തതു

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

കൊണ്ടു മാനേജരുടെ നീരസം സമ്പാദിക്കുകയല്ലാതെ വേറെ യാതൊരു ഗുണവും മാനേജരെ വിട്ട് ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെന്റിൽ നിന്നു ഗുണം ഉണ്ടാവുന്നതല്ലെന്നു ടി യാൻ പറകയാൽ അതിൽ ഇടവം, മിഥുനം, കർക്കടകം എന്ന മൂന്നു മാസത്തേക്കുള്ള മൂന്നൊപ്പ് യാ തൊരു സംഖ്യയും വാങ്ങിക്കാതെ ഒപ്പിട്ടു കൊടുത്തു. മാനേജരു ടെ ശമ്പളപട്ടികയിൽ ഒപ്പിട്ടു കൊടുത്തിട്ടും ഇല്ല. അതിനാൽ കർക്കടകം ഗഫ -ാംതീ എനിക്കു മൂന്നു മാസത്തെ ശമ്പളം കിട്ടു വാനുള്ളതു ഇന്നു വരെയ്ക്കും കിട്ടിയുമില്ല. ൨ ഫ൦ ൫ ഇടവം മുതൽ ഫഫ൦ വരെ നിലവിലുള്ള ഫ൯ മാസത്തേക്കു എനിക്കുള്ള increment വകയും വരുവാനുള്ള ഫ൦ ൨ ക. യിൽ വർക. ഫ൨ ണ. ൨ പൈ മാത്രമെ എനിക്കു തന്നിട്ടുള്ളു. ആ സംഖ്യക്കു മാത്രമെ ഞാൻ Separate receipt കൊടുത്തിട്ടുള്ളു. മാനേജരുടെ Contribution വകയ്ക്കാണ ഫ൨ ക. ൩ ണ. ഫ൦ പ. പിടിച്ചിരിക്കുന്നത് എന്നാ ണ എന്നെ ധരിപ്പിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതു. എന്ന ഫഫ൦ വ മിങ്ങം ഫ ഫ -ാംതീ.

(Sd). Sanskrit Pandit.

(True copy)

Letter dated 30th September 1932, C. No. 785/1108, from the Inspector of Schools, Northern Division.

Subject:—Sealy Memorial School, Tattamangalam—Payment of salaries — Irregularities in.

Reference—Anonymous petition.

In forwarding herewith an anonymous petition regarding the irregularities in the payment of salaries to teachers in the Sealy Memorial School, Tattamangalam, I have the honour to submit the following report for orders:—

I went to the school on 11th Chingam 1108 and instituted enquiries. I examined all the teaches without any exception and from them I came to understand that their salaries were in arrears for various periods at noted below:—

1. Head-master. Pay for part of Kumbham and whole of Meenam and Medam (since paid up in Edavam)
2. Teacher F. II A. Four months' salary in arrears (since paid up in Edavam)
3. ,, F. II A. Meenam and Medam pay in arrears (since paid in Edavam)
4. ,, F. I—A. Do do do
5. Class 4 A. Medam pay in arrears (since paid up in Edavam)
6. ,, 4 B. Meenam and Medam pay in arrears (do)
7. ,, 3 A. Medam pay in arrears (since paid up in Edavam)
8. ,, 3 B. Meenam and Medam pay in arrears (do)
9. ,, 3 C. Do do (do)
10. ,, 2 A. Do do (do)

The teachers stated that the delay in payment was due to two reasons:— (1) there was no fee collection in the months of Meenam and Medam and (2) the grant bill for the quarter ending Medam could be received sanctioned only

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

in Edavam. They admitted that they generally got their pay by adjustment from the fee collections of their respective classes but in the months when there was no fee collection they have to wait till the next fee collection, and hence the delay so far as Meenam and Medam pay was concerned. They stated that they got the arrears adjusted out of the fee collections late in Edavam.

But the Sankrit Pandit who has not the advantage of having any fee collection was left without any payment. In fact his salary was in arrears from Makaram 1107 onwards. In his statement enclosed herewith (enclosure A) he says that he refused to sign either in the acquittance roll maintained in the school (forwarded herewith) or in the acquittance sheet submitted along with the grant bill in Medam as he had not been paid his salaries. If this statement is true, it has to be seriously considered how his signature came in in the acquittance sheet which must have accompanied the grant bill of the school for the quarter ending Medam 1107, or whether there was his acquittance at all accompanying the grant bill. All the teachers including the Head-master admit that they sign in the acquittance sheet purely as a matter of course and that their real acquittance for receipt of salaries is in the school acquittance roll (please see enclosure b). It is indeed strange that the Manager should thus make the Department believe that he has paid the teachers while he has not paid them, by sending an acquittance sheet in which he gets the signature of the teachers as a matter of course. It is again strange that in the acquittance roll the dates of payment of the salaries for Meenam and Medam are seen to be 30th Meenam 1107 and 10th Edavam 1107 though these salaries were actually in arrears till the end of Edavam according to all the teachers. The fact seems to be that the dates of payment are not allowed to be entered by the teachers, but noted down by the Manager or his clerk according to convenience.

This is borne out by the fact that for several months no dates of payment are noted at all, while for the months of Dhanu, Makaram, Kumbham, Meenam, Medam, etc., of 1107 the dates of payment to the Sanskrit Pandit are seen to be the same as those for the other teachers though payments were made to him only on 3rd Karkadagam 1107 for four months together (Makaram to Medam) and on 18th Chingam 1108 for Edavam and Mithunam 1107. The Sanskrit Pandit further says that he gave his acquittance for Edavam, Mithunam and Karkadagam 1107 together in the acquittance sheet accompanying the grant bill for the 4th quarter of the year. Without having been actually paid his salary for those months, since he was made to understand by Nanukutti Menon (the Drawing Master who does duty as clerk also of the school) that there was no use of his refusing to give his acquittance in that sheet as the Pandit could have realised it by his experience regarding the grant bill for the 3rd quarter which was passed and cashed without his acquittance. This statement of his that he signed in it without receiving payment is borne out by his separate acquittances seen attached to the acquittance roll, one dated 18th Chingam 1108 for the salaries of Edavam and Mithunam and another dated 23rd Chingam 1108 for the salary of Karkadagam 1107.

Further the three unused receipt stamps seen pasted against the name of the Pandit in the acquittance roll for the months of Makaram, Kumbham and Meenam go to show that no payment was made to him till the end of Meenam and that the stamps must have been purchased and pasted by the Manager himself by the end of Meenam at the earliest to get the Pandits' signature for all those three months. For, if the Pandit had refused to give

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

his acquittance in Kumbham, even after affixing the stamp, there is not the least likelihood of any stamp being affixed for the succeeding months either by the Pandit or by the Manager. Hence the three stamps must have been affixed by the Manager himself or his clerk against the Pandit's name by the end of Meenam or later. From these facts it is clear that the Pandit remained unpaid for several months and that the acquittance sheets attached to the grant bills were not genuine acquittances as admitted by the Head-master and the clerk in their statements. This is a very serious irregularity.

Again there is the Pandit's acquittance for Rs. 89—12—2 attached to the acquittance roll bearing date 4th Meenam 1107 being the amount of increments due to the teacher for 17 months from Edavam 1105 to Kanni 1107 at Rs. 6 per mensem. The actual amount ought to be Rs. 102. But the teacher has been paidonly Rs. 89—12—2 and the Pandit in his statement says that the balance of Rs. 12—3—10 was not given to him, but withheld on the ground that it was the Manager's contribution (whatever that might mean). The officecopy of the supplementary bill for the 17 months, increment which might be in the Head office might be looked into to see whether the Pandit's acquittance for Rs. 102 is attached to it and if so, the Manager might beasked to explain why he did not pay the teacher the full amount.

There is again another charge against the Manager that the contribution to the Provident Fund by the teachers of the school which have been actually paid to the Manager by some of the teachers from their pay have not been remitted by the Manager while in some other cases they have not been received by the Manager at all. Some teachers say that they have paid their contributions up to the end of Makaram and some others up to the end of Karkadagam. From the school records it is seen that contributions from Vrischigam 1107 have been remitted as per chalan No. 124 dated 12th Makaram 1107 (amount Rs. 33—10—0). Dhanu contributions also are seen to have been remitted, but the chalan was not seen as it was reported to be with the Manager. Thus there seems to be serious irregularities in regard to the collection and remittance of these contributions.

As regards your endosement on C. 533/1108, dated 25th August 1932, asking for my remarks onthe acquittance said to have been forwarded with it, I had to return it to you for retransmission of the same with the acquittance for perusal, as theacquittance had not been forwarded to me along with it. But the acquittance sent to me after that is a separate receipt dated 2nd Kanni 1108 which is evidently not the one that would have been sent along with the grantbill for the 3rd quarter ot 1107. The office records may therefore bescrutinised to find out whether any acquittance of the Pandit was sent along with that grant bill.

The findings against the Manager as the result of my investigation are as follows.—

1. Irregularity in payment of salaries, teachers being left unpaid for several months togetherat times.
2. Producing acquittances from teachers without actually paying them and thus getting grant from the Government before paying the teachers.
3. Withholding from teachers' salaries a portion for the Manager's contribution.
4. Irregularity in respect of remittances of the Provident Fund contributions from teachers.

I have in conclusionto leave it to you to take whatever action you deem fit in the matter.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

The anonymous petition received by me as well as your office endorsement on C. 533/1108, the acquittance roll of the school together with the Pandit's acquittance dated 2nd Kanni 1108, sent along with the acquittance roll of the school are herewith resubmitted.

APPENDIX II.

Office of the Diwan of Cochin,
Development Department,
19th December 1933.

MEMO.

Reference:—Petition dated 3rd Vrischigam 1109 from A. Ayyappan and others of Kunnamkulam forwarded by Mr. K. T. Mathew, M. L. C., Vijayamandir, Trivandrum.

The petitioners are informed that orders have already been issued to the Director of Public Health to set apart a portion of Sy. No. 1389/2 of Chovannur village for their cremation ground.

(By order)

Sd. For Secretary to the Diwan.

To

The petitioners.
Copy to the Director of Public Health (vide C. 1012/08).
Copy to the Diwan Peishkar.

APPENDIX III.

In the Court of the District Magistrate of Cochin State.

Present.

M. R. Ry. P. J. George Avl., B. A. and B. L.

District Magistrate.

Miscellaneous Petition No. 312 of 1109.

Inspector of Police, Trichur Town . . Petitioner.

1. Mr. C. Kesavan, B. A. and B. L., of Travancore,
Secretary of the S. N. D. P. Yogam and } Counter-
2. Mr. K. R. Narayanan of Travancore } petitioners.

Order under section 125, Criminal Procedure Code.

Whereas it has been brought to my notice from the report of the Trichur Town Inspector of Police dated 26th Thulam 1109 and other papers as also from other enquiries that the counter-petitioners, Mr. C. Kesavan, B. A. and B. L. of Travancore, Secretary of the S. N. D. P. Yogam and Mr. K. R. Narayanan also belonging to Travancore, who have been making inflammatory and seditious speeches in Travancore and thus setting up people to civil disobedience and inter-communal hatred, are attempting to have the same activities within this State with the same objects, since they have now been prohibited to do so within the Kottayam District of Travancore;

Whereas information is received that the above-mentioned counter-petitioners are going to make such speeches at a meeting of the Cochin Thiyya Conference proposed to be held to-morrow (i. e., on 27th Thulam 1109) in the National Bank buildings at Koorkkancheri, Trichur, under the presidentship of the 1st counter-petitioner Mr. C. Kesavan; and

Whereas I am satisfied that, unless an injunction order under section 125, Criminal Procedure Code, is issued to prohibit them from holding any meetings or making any speeches within this State and thereby to restrain such activities which will tend to create intercommunal hatred among the

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly